

JS-6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DARREN WILLIAMS, an individual,) Case No.: 8:18-cv-02064-DFM

Plaintiff,

VS.

IHS MARKIT LTD., a Bermuda exempted company, IHS GLOBAL, INC., a Delaware corporation, and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

JUDGMENT;

FRCP 58(a)

KAUFF McGUIRE & MARGOLIS LLP
1901 AVENUE OF THE STARS SUITE 450
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

This is a revised version of the paper presented at the 11th Annual Conference of the International Society for the History of Economic Thought, University of Hull, 11–13 April 2001. I am grateful to the conference organizers and the anonymous referees for their useful comments and suggestions.

McCormick United States Magistrate Judge in September 2022 on Plaintiff

Darren Williams' claims against Defendants IHS MARKIT Ltd., IHS Global, Inc.

1 and S&P Global Inc. (“Defendants”). (See Dkts. 110-114, 116.) The parties filed
 2 closing and rebuttal briefs and the Court held final arguments on December 8,
 3 2022. (See Dkts. 130, 131, 132, 133 and 134.)

4 On November 17, 2020, the Honorable Josephine L. Staton, United States
 5 District Judge, previously assigned to this case, issued an Order granting in part
 6 Defendants’ motion for summary judgment. (Dkt. 57.) That Order is incorporated
 7 by reference into this Judgment.

8 On January 19, 2023, this Court, having read and considered the oral and
 9 written evidence, having observed the witnesses testifying, having considered the
 10 supporting and opposing memoranda and briefs of all parties, and having heard and
 11 considered the arguments of counsel and good cause appearing therefore, entered
 12 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
 13 Procedure 52, which are incorporated by reference into this Judgment. (Dkt. 136.)
 14 In the Conclusions of Law, the Court found: that Plaintiff’s breach of contract
 15 claim failed (Dkt. 136, ¶ 90 at 36); that Plaintiff did not prove breach of the
 16 implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing and is not entitled to judgment on
 17 this claim (Dkt. 136, ¶ 102 at 42); that Plaintiff is not entitled to judgment on his
 18 Unfair Competition Law (UCL) claims (Cal.Bus.&Prof.Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*)
 19 (Dkt. 136, ¶ 108 at 46); and that Plaintiff is not entitled to judgment on his
 20 common count claim for services rendered. (Dkt. 136, ¶ 114 at 49).

21 By reason of the Order Granting Defendants’ Motion for Summary
 22 Judgment in part and the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, Judgment shall
 23 be entered as follows:

**NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND
DECreed AS FOLLOws:**

- 1. First Cause of Action for Breach of Contract:** Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants.
- 2. Second Cause of Action for Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing:** Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants.
- 3. Third Cause of Action for Conversion:** Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants.
- 4. Fourth Cause of Action for Violation of California's Unfair Competition Law (Cal.Bus.&Prof.Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*):** Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants.
- 5. Fifth Cause of Action for Unjust Enrichment:** Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants.
- 6. Sixth Cause of Action for Declaratory Relief:** Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants.
- 7. Seventh Cause of Action for Failure to Timely Pay Compensation (Individual and PAGA Claim (Cal.Lab.Code §§ 2699, *et seq.*)):** Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants.
- 8. Eighth Cause of Action for Failure to Compensate for all Hours Worked (Individual and PAGA Claim (Cal.Lab.Code §§ 2699, *et seq.*)):** Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants.
- 9. Ninth Cause of Action for Failure to Furnish Accurate and Itemized Wage Statements (Individual and PAGA Claim (Cal.Lab.Code §§ 2699, *et seq.*)):** Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants.

1 2699, et seq.)): Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants.

2 10. Tenth Cause of Action for Common Count for Services Rendered:

3 Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.

5
6 DATED: January 31, 2023


DOUGLAS F. MCCORMICK
United States Magistrate Judge

KAUFF McGUIRE & MARGOLIS LLP
1901 AVENUE OF THE STARS SUITE 450
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067
TELEPHONE (424) 226-0609